

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/516,085 | 11/29/2004 | Ralph Reiche | 2002P04430WOUS | 9655 |
| 7550 04/24/2008 Siemens Corporation | | | EXAMINER | |
| Intellectual Property Department | | | TUROCY, DAVID P | |
| 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 1792 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| N 41 CAL 1 | 10/516.085 | REICHE ET AL. | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | DAVID TUROCY | 1792 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | <u></u> | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- | | | | |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was, which is after the expiration of the statutory processing processing the processing process. | 5). s received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of | | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is | | | | |
| (b) \square No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | | |
| | he decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review If the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. | | | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |

/Timothy H Meeks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792

John Musone verified that no response has been submitted

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office